

SENT VIA EMAIL OR FAX ON
Apr/01/2010

True Decisions Inc.

An Independent Review Organization
835 E. Lamar Blvd. #394
Arlington, TX 76011
Phone: (214) 717-4260
Fax: (214) 594-8608
Email: rm@truedecisions.com

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

DATE OF REVIEW:

Mar/25/2010

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

1 Lysis of Epidural Adhesions Using a Spring Wound Tip Catheter Under Fluoroscopy with IV Sedation

DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
Subspecialty Board Certified in Pain Management
Subspecialty Board Certified in Electrodiagnostic Medicine
Residency Training PMR and ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

OD Guidelines
Denial Letters 3/3/10, 3/1/10, 1/28/10, 1/19/10
Anesthesia & Pain Management 1/21/04 thru 2/17/10
OP Report 2/29/04 and 3/1/04
Dr. 12/19/06

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY SUMMARY

This man was reportedly injured on xx/xx/xx after a lifting injury. He subsequently had 9 back operations including a 360-degree fusion at 4 levels. He had a spinal stimulator at one point. He has ongoing back pain with spasms and pain down both lower extremities. Dr. noted (2/17/10) this man had this procedure in 2004 prior to the spinal stimulator (2006). He had pain relief. Dr. noted spinal pain and tenderness with muscle spasms, but normal neurological findings.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDING CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION

The ODG does not recommend the procedure due to lack of evidence. It does have some criteria if it is performed. This requires failure of prior treatments. Dr. wrote about this. The criterion requires the MRI findings. There were not presented, but Dr. notes the radiological

findings in the past and relief following a 2004 procedure. There were no comments in the APS criteria published by Chou in Spine in 2009. The only justification to disagree with the prior reviewers is the prior success this man had with the previous treatment. This combined with an option to be considered by the ODG supports the single repeat event.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION

ACOEM-AMERICA COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE

AHCPR-AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES

DWC-DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES

EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN

INTERQUAL CRITERIA

MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES

MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES

ODG-OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES

PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS

TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES

TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL

PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)

OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)