



5068 West Plano Parkway Suite 122
 Plano, Texas 75093
 Phone: (972) 931-5100

DATE OF REVIEW: 12/15/2009

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:

IRO - Right shoulder evaluate under anesthesia, Right shoulder scope/reconstruction inspection, &
 Subacromial adhesiolysis

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:

This case was reviewed by a Texas licensed MD, specializing in Orthopedic Trauma, Orthopedic Surgery. The physician advisor has the following additional qualifications, if applicable:

ABMS Orthopaedic Surgery

REVIEW OUTCOME:

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Upheld

Health Care Service(s) in Dispute	CPT Codes	Date of Service(s)	Outcome of Independent Review
IRO - Right shoulder evaluate under anesthesia, Right shoulder scope/reconstruction inspection, & Subacromial adhesiolysis	29825	-	Upheld

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

No	Document Type	Provider or Sender	Page Count	Service Start Date	Service End Date
1	IRO Request		19		
2	IRO Carrier/URA Records		42		
3	IRO Carrier/URA		36		

Records				
---------	--	--	--	--

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The patient is a female with a history of injury to the right shoulder on xx/xx/xx. The patient suffered an exertion overhead injury diagnosed as a labral tear. An arthroscopic labral repair was performed on 1/27/09. The patient currently is complaining of persistent pain with overhead activities. Recent "work hardening" activities have been documented. Physical therapy has not been documented. The range of motion of the shoulder appears to be functional though not consistently documented. Evaluation on 10/21/09 revealed no significant diminished range of motion, no weakness, no apprehension. She reports tenderness over acromioclavicular joint. A request has been submitted to pre authorize right shoulder examination under anesthesia, arthroscopic inspection of the reconstruction, and release of subacromial adhesiolysis. The request was considered and denied; reconsidered and denied.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:

This patient has persistent pain limiting overhead activities; however, the extent of range of motion restriction is not well documented. The most recent evaluation of 10/21/09 reveals a normal range of motion and no evidence of weakness. Adhesive capsulitis has not been definitively diagnosed. The patient's primary problem at this time appears to be persistent post operative pain. Subacromial anesthetic and corticosteroid injections have not been effective in alleviating discomfort. It would appear that the prior denials of the request to pre authorize examination under anesthesia, arthroscopic reconstruction inspection, and subacromial adhesiolysis were appropriate. The documentation does not appear to justify the surgical procedure.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION: ODG SHOULDER CHAPTER

Surgery for impingement syndrome	Recommended as indicated below. Surgery for impingement syndrome is usually arthroscopic decompression (acromioplasty). However, this procedure is not indicated for patients with mild symptoms or those who have no limitations of activities. Conservative care, including cortisone injections, should be carried out for at least three to six months prior to considering surgery. Since this diagnosis is on a continuum with other rotator cuff conditions, including rotator cuff syndrome and rotator cuff tendonitis, see also Surgery for rotator cuff repair. (Prochazka, 2001) (Ejnisman-Cochrane, 2004) (Grant, 2004) Arthroscopic subacromial decompression does not appear to change the functional outcome after arthroscopic repair of the rotator cuff. (Gartsman, 2004) This systematic review comparing arthroscopic versus open acromioplasty, using data from four Level I and one Level II randomized controlled trials, could not find appreciable differences between arthroscopic and open surgery, in all measures, including pain, UCLA shoulder scores, range of motion, strength, the time required to perform surgery, and return to work. (Barfield, 2007) Operative treatment, including isolated distal clavicle resection or subacromial decompression (with or without rotator cuff repair), may be considered in the treatment of patients whose condition does not improve after 6 months of conservative therapy or of patients younger than 60 years with debilitating symptoms that impair function. The results of conservative treatment vary, ongoing or worsening symptoms being reported by 30-40% patients at follow-up. Patients with more severe symptoms, longer duration of symptoms, and a hook-shaped acromion tend to have worse results than do other patients. (Hambly, 2007) A prospective randomised study compared the results of arthroscopic subacromial bursectomy alone with debridement of the subacromial bursa followed by acromioplasty in patients suffering from primary subacromial impingement without a rupture of the rotator cuff who had failed previous conservative treatment. At a mean
----------------------------------	---

	<p>follow-up of 2.5 years both bursectomy and acromioplasty gave good clinical results, and no statistically significant differences were found between the two treatments. The authors concluded that primary subacromial impingement syndrome is largely an intrinsic degenerative condition rather than an extrinsic mechanical disorder. (Henkus, 2009)</p> <p>ODG Indications for Surgery™ -- Acromioplasty:</p> <p>Criteria for anterior acromioplasty with diagnosis of acromial impingement syndrome (80% of these patients will get better without surgery.)</p> <p>1. Conservative Care: Recommend 3 to 6 months: Three months is adequate if treatment has been continuous, six months if treatment has been intermittent. Treatment must be directed toward gaining full ROM, which requires both stretching and strengthening to balance the musculature. PLUS</p> <p>2. Subjective Clinical Findings: Pain with active arc motion 90 to 130 degrees. AND Pain at night. PLUS</p> <p>3. Objective Clinical Findings: Weak or absent abduction; may also demonstrate atrophy. AND Tenderness over rotator cuff or anterior acromial area. AND Positive impingement sign and temporary relief of pain with anesthetic injection (diagnostic injection test). PLUS</p> <p>4. Imaging Clinical Findings: Conventional x-rays, AP, and true lateral or axillary view. AND Gadolinium MRI, ultrasound, or arthrogram shows positive evidence of impingement.</p> <p>(Washington, 2002)</p>
Surgery for rotator cuff repair	<p>Recommended as indicated below. Repair of the rotator cuff is indicated for significant tears that impair activities by causing weakness of arm elevation or rotation, particularly acutely in younger workers. However, rotator cuff tears are frequently partial-thickness or smaller full-thickness tears. For partial-thickness rotator cuff tears and small full-thickness tears presenting primarily as impingement, surgery is reserved for cases failing conservative therapy for three months. The preferred procedure is usually arthroscopic decompression, but the outcomes from open repair are as good or better. Surgery is not indicated for patients with mild symptoms or those who have no limitations of activities. (Ejnisman-Cochrane, 2004) (Grant, 2004) Lesions of the rotator cuff are best thought of as a continuum, from mild inflammation and degeneration to full avulsions. Studies of normal subjects document the universal presence of degenerative changes and conditions, including full avulsions without symptoms. Conservative treatment has results similar to surgical treatment but without surgical risks. Studies evaluating results of conservative treatment of full-thickness rotator cuff tears have shown an 82-86% success rate for patients presenting within three months of injury. The efficacy of arthroscopic decompression for full-thickness tears depends on the size of the tear; one study reported satisfactory results in 90% of patients with small tears. A prior study by the same group reported satisfactory results in 86% of patients who underwent open repair for larger tears. Surgical outcomes are much better in younger patients with a rotator cuff tear, than in older patients, who may be suffering from degenerative changes in the rotator cuff. Referral for surgical consultation may be indicated for patients who have: Activity limitation for more than three months, plus existence of a surgical lesion; Failure of exercise programs to increase range of motion and strength of the musculature around the shoulder, plus existence of a surgical lesion; Clear clinical and imaging evidence of a lesion that has been shown to benefit, in both the short and long term, from surgical repair; Red flag conditions (e.g., acute rotator cuff tear in a young worker, glenohumeral joint dislocation, etc.). Suspected acute tears of the rotator cuff in young workers may be surgically repaired acutely to restore function; in older workers, these tears are typically treated conservatively at first. Partial-thickness tears are treated the same as impingement syndrome regardless of MRI findings. Outpatient rotator cuff repair is a well accepted and cost effective procedure. (Cordasco, 2000) Difference between surgery & exercise was not significant. (Brox, 1999) There is significant variation in</p>

	<p>surgical decision-making and a lack of clinical agreement among orthopaedic surgeons about rotator cuff surgery. (Dunn, 2005) For rotator cuff pain with an intact tendon, a trial of 3 to 6 months of conservative therapy is reasonable before orthopaedic referral. Patients with small tears of the rotator cuff may be referred to an orthopaedist after 6 to 12 weeks of conservative treatment. (Burbank2, 2008) Patients with workers' compensation claims have worse outcomes after rotator cuff repair. (Henn, 2008)</p> <p><i>Revision rotator cuff repair:</i> The results of revision rotator cuff repair are inferior to those of primary repair. While pain relief may be achieved in most patients, selection criteria should include patients with an intact deltoid origin, good-quality rotator cuff tissue, preoperative elevation above the horizontal, and only one prior procedure. (Djurasovic, 2001)</p> <p><u>ODG Indications for Surgery™ -- Rotator cuff repair:</u></p> <p>Criteria for rotator cuff repair with diagnosis of <u>full thickness</u> rotator cuff tear AND Cervical pathology and frozen shoulder syndrome have been ruled out:</p> <p>1. Subjective Clinical Findings: Shoulder pain and inability to elevate the arm; tenderness over the greater tuberosity is common in acute cases. PLUS</p> <p>2. Objective Clinical Findings: Patient may have weakness with abduction testing. May also demonstrate atrophy of shoulder musculature. Usually has full passive range of motion. PLUS</p> <p>3. Imaging Clinical Findings: Conventional x-rays, AP, and true lateral or axillary views. AND Gadolinium MRI, ultrasound, or arthrogram shows positive evidence of deficit in rotator cuff.</p> <p>Criteria for rotator cuff repair OR anterior acromioplasty with diagnosis of <u>partial thickness</u> rotator cuff repair OR acromial impingement syndrome (80% of these patients will get better without surgery.)</p> <p>1. Conservative Care: Recommend 3 to 6 months: Three months is adequate if treatment has been continuous, six months if treatment has been intermittent. Treatment must be directed toward gaining full ROM, which requires both stretching and strengthening to balance the musculature. PLUS</p> <p>2. Subjective Clinical Findings: Pain with active arc motion 90 to 130 degrees. AND Pain at night (Tenderness over the greater tuberosity is common in acute cases.) PLUS</p> <p>3. Objective Clinical Findings: Weak or absent abduction; may also demonstrate atrophy. AND Tenderness over rotator cuff or anterior acromial area. AND Positive impingement sign and temporary relief of pain with anesthetic injection (diagnostic injection test). PLUS</p> <p>4. Imaging Clinical Findings: Conventional x-rays, AP, and true lateral or axillary view. AND Gadolinium MRI, ultrasound, or arthrogram shows positive evidence of deficit in rotator cuff.</p> <p>(Washington, 2002)</p>
--	---

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA

- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)