

## Notice of Independent Review Decision

**12/23/2009/Amended 01/15/2010**

**DATE OF REVIEW:**

12/23/2009/Amended 01/15/2010

**IRO CASE #:**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE**

Lumbar epidural steroid injection (ESI)

**AMENDED:** ASC bilateral transforaminal ESI L5-S2 (62311)

**A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION**

Doctor of Osteopathy, Board Certified Anesthesiologist, Specializing in Pain Management

**REVIEW OUTCOME**

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be: **Upheld**

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether or not medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

**Lumbar epidural steroid injection (ESI) is not medically necessary.**

**AMENDED: The requested ASC bilateral transforaminal ESI L5-S2 (62311) is not medically necessary.**

**INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW**

- TDI/DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION referral form
- 12/17/09 letter from Network & Medical Operations, , with attached response regarding disputed services
- 12/15/09 MCMC Referral
- 12/14/09 Notice To MCMC, LLC Of Case Assignment, DWC
- 12/14/09 Confirmation Of Receipt Of A Request For A Review, DWC
- 12/11/09 Request For A Review By An Independent Review Organization
- 11/10/09 report from, Review Nurse,
- 10/23/09 report from, Review Nurse,
- 07/21/09 MRI lumbar spine, DMC
- 07/07/09 Follow-Up Visit, , PA-C
- 06/04/09 Re-Evaluation – Summary, , D.C.
- 05/27/09, 06/03/09, 06/04/09 Daily Progress and Procedural Notes, D.C., Clinics

- 07/17/08, 07/28/09 office notes, , M.D.
- 01/07/08, 10/14/09 Follow-Up Visit, , RN, FNP-C
- 09/29/98 Operative Report, M.D., Hospital
- ODG – TWC Integrated Treatment/Disability Duration Guidelines for Low Back – Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic)

**PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

The injured individual is a female with date of injury xx/xx. The injured individual had an L5/S1 fusion in 1998. The MRI showed stenosis at L5 with scar tissue. The injured individual had medial branch blocks with no relief. The neurological exams of 01/2008, 07/2008, 05/2009, and 06/2009 all have negative neurological exams. On 07/07/2009 the injured individual has weakness in the extensor hallucis longus (EHL) and plantar flexors. It noted the injured individual had had physical therapy (PT) with no benefit and was doing a home exercise program (HEP). A new MRI showed grade I spondylolisthesis at L5 with bilateral nerve root impingement. The neurological exam of 07/28/2009 was then negative. The neurological exam of 10/14 indicated a reduced right Achilles reflex, reduced sensation in the right calf, but normal motor strength.

**ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.**

While the latest MRI showed bilateral L5 impingement, the physical exam (PE) has been negative, with only motor weakness, or with no motor weakness and only right sided findings. This change in exam findings by the same physician and by different physicians does not support an epidural steroid injection (ESI) since there is a lack of consistency in clinical presentation.

**AMENDED:** The MRI showed only L5 impingement but no involvement of S1 or S2 roots therefore the L5-S2 request is not supported. The lack of consistent PE findings does not support the requested ASC bilateral transforaminal ESI L5-S2 (62311). There are at most right sided findings therefore a bilateral injection is not supported.

**A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:****ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES**

Official Disability Guideline: Criteria for the use of Epidural steroid injections:

Note: The purpose of ESI is to reduce pain and inflammation, thereby facilitating progress in more active treatment programs, and avoiding surgery, but this treatment alone offers no significant long-term functional benefit.

(1) Radiculopathy must be documented. Objective findings on examination need to be present. For unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, see AMA Guides, 5th Edition, page 382-383. (Andersson, 2000)

(2) Initially unresponsive to conservative treatment (exercises, physical methods, NSAIDs and muscle relaxants).

(3) Injections should be performed using fluoroscopy (live x-ray) and injection of contrast for guidance.

- (4) Diagnostic Phase: At the time of initial use of an ESI (formally referred to as the “diagnostic phase” as initial injections indicate whether success will be obtained with this treatment intervention), a maximum of one to two injections should be performed. A repeat block is not recommended if there is inadequate response to the first block (< 30% is a standard placebo response). A second block is also not indicated if the first block is accurately placed unless: (a) there is a question of the pain generator; (b) there was possibility of inaccurate placement; or (c) there is evidence of multilevel pathology. In these cases a different level or approach might be proposed. There should be an interval of at least one to two weeks between injections.
- (5) No more than two nerve root levels should be injected using transforaminal blocks.
- (6) No more than one interlaminar level should be injected at one session.
- (7) Therapeutic phase: If after the initial block/blocks are given (see “Diagnostic Phase” above) and found to produce pain relief of at least 50-70% pain relief for at least 6-8 weeks, additional blocks may be required. This is generally referred to as the “therapeutic phase.” Indications for repeat blocks include acute exacerbation of pain, or new onset of symptoms. The general consensus recommendation is for no more than 4 blocks per region per year. (CMS, 2004) (Boswell, 2007)
- (8) Repeat injections should be based on continued objective documented pain relief, decreased need for pain medications, and functional response.
- (9) Current research does not support a routine use of a “series-of-three” injections in either the diagnostic or therapeutic phase. We recommend no more than 2 ESI injections for the initial phase and rarely more than 2 for therapeutic treatment.
- (10) It is currently not recommended to perform epidural blocks on the same day of treatment as facet blocks or sacroiliac blocks or lumbar sympathetic blocks or trigger point injections as this may lead to improper diagnosis or unnecessary treatment.
- (11) Cervical and lumbar epidural steroid injection should not be performed on the same day. (Doing both injections on the same day could result in an excessive dose of steroids, which can be dangerous, and not worth the risk for a treatment that has no long-term benefit.)