

US Decisions, Inc.

An Independent Review Organization

71 Court Street (512)

782-4560 (phone) (207)

470-1085 (fax)

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DATE OF REVIEW: SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

10 Sessions/40 hours per week for two weeks of Chronic Pain Management Program

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

Clinical Psychologist

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether or not medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

The reviewer finds that medical necessity does not exist for 10 Sessions/40 hours per week for two weeks of Chronic Pain Management Program.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The claimant is a xx year old female who records indicate was injured on xx/xx/xx while performing her regular job duties as a . Report indicates she was helping students move tables in the lunchroom, and as she attempted to lift a table, felt a strong pulling sensation in her low back, causing her to drop the table. She reported the incident to her supervisor and continued to work the rest of the day, with pain. She sought formal care after the pain persisted through the weekend, and continues to maintain an off work status. She was put at clinical MMI and given a 5% impairment rating on July 8, 2008.

Over the course of her treatment, patient has received x-rays, lumbar MRI's, EMG,

lumbar laminectomy and discectomy surgery (7/18/07), physical therapy, psychological evaluation, and medications management. Current medications include: Cymbalta, Naproxen, Soma, Zofran, and Lyrica. Post-surgical MRI showed residual focal disc bulge at L5-S1 contacting the transversing right S1 nerve, broad based left posterolateral foraminal disc bulge contacting the inferior margin of the exiting left L4 nerve root, and evidence of the post laminectomy. Treating physician's re-eval notes give diagnoses of s/p lumbar discectomy, lumbar HNP, lumbar radiculopathy, and muscle spasm. Treatment plan includes second opinion spine consult. Report indicates that peer reviewer has stated that patient needs MMPI, detox, and chronic pain program prior to next surgery, although actual report is not available for review.

Patient was referred, and evaluated, for a chronic pain management program. Patient received a psychological eval to include mental status, testing, and recommendation for first 10 days of CPMP. Clinical impression states patient "continues to suffer from symptoms of depression, anxiety, fear and avoidance of activity, self perceptions of disability, and preoccupation with persistent, debilitating pain." BDI and BAI were elevated in the mild-moderate ranges and MMPI-2 correlated with this and also showed a history of alcohol/drug use problems. Diagnoses were Pain Disorder with psychological factors and a general medical condition and Major depressive disorder, single episode, moderate. Goals for the requested program included: increased education and practice of pain management techniques, reduction/extinction of narcotic medications, normalization of ADL's, improvement of mood and decreased symptoms of depression, decreased anxiety and physical tension, improvement in sleep, reduction of disability mindset, formulation of RTW goals, and improved coping skills.

The current request is for 10 days of CPMP.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION

ODG recommends a stepped-care approach for the treatment of chronic pain patients, which has not been accomplished in this case. Also, the patient is being considered for another spine surgery, and is therefore not at the tertiary stage of treatment, as the guidelines require. It is acknowledged that peer reviewer supposedly stated CPMP and detox were required before surgery, but the current request does not have detox as one of the goals. In any case, the guidelines call for the patient to receive individual therapy first in order to either prepare her for surgery or for a true in-patient or out-patient detox program. Given all of the above, the reviewer finds that medical necessity does not exist for 10 Sessions/40 hours per week for two weeks of Chronic Pain Management Program.

Psychological treatment: Recommended for appropriately identified patients during treatment for chronic pain. Psychological intervention for chronic pain includes setting goals, determining appropriateness of treatment, conceptualizing a patient's pain beliefs and coping styles, assessing psychological and cognitive function, and addressing co-morbid mood disorders (such as depression, anxiety, panic disorder, and posttraumatic stress disorder). Cognitive behavioral therapy and self-regulatory treatments have been found to be particularly effective. Psychological treatment incorporated into pain treatment has been found to have a positive short-term effect on pain interference and long-term effect on return to work. The following "stepped-care" approach to pain management that involves psychological intervention has been suggested:

Step 1: Identify and address specific concerns about pain and enhance interventions that emphasize self-management. The role of the psychologist at this point includes education and training of pain care providers in how to screen for patients that may need early psychological intervention.

Step 2: Identify patients who continue to experience pain and disability *after the usual time of recovery*. At this point a consultation with a psychologist allows for screening, assessment of goals, and further treatment options, including brief individual or group therapy.

Step 3: Pain is sustained in spite of continued therapy (including the above psychological care). Intensive care may be required from mental health professions allowing for a multidisciplinary treatment approach. See also [Multi-disciplinary pain programs](#). See also [ODG Cognitive Behavioral Therapy \(CBT\) Guidelines](#) for low back problems. ([Otis, 2006](#)) ([Townsend, 2006](#)) ([Kerns, 2005](#)) ([Flor, 1992](#)) ([Morley, 1999](#)) ([Ostelo, 2005](#))

CBT: Recommended. Cognitive behavior therapy for depression is recommended based on meta-analyses that compare its use with pharmaceuticals. Cognitive behavior therapy fared as well as antidepressant medication with severely depressed outpatients in four major comparisons. Effects may be longer lasting (80% relapse rate with antidepressants versus 25% with psychotherapy). ([Paykel, 2006](#)) ([Bockting, 2006](#)) ([DeRubeis, 1999](#)) ([Goldapple, 2004](#)) It also fared well in a meta-analysis comparing 78 clinical trials from 1977 -1996. ([Gloaguen, 1998](#)) In another study, it was found that combined therapy (antidepressant plus psychotherapy) was found to be more effective than psychotherapy alone. ([Thase, 1997](#)) A recent high quality study concluded that a substantial number of adequately treated patients did not respond to antidepressant therapy. ([Corey-Lisle, 2004](#)) A recent meta-analysis concluded that psychological treatment combined with antidepressant therapy is associated with a higher improvement rate than drug treatment alone. In longer therapies, the addition of psychotherapy helps to keep patients in treatment. ([Pampallona, 2004](#)) For panic disorder, cognitive behavior therapy is more effective and more cost-effective than medication. ([Royal Australian, 2003](#)) The gold standard for the evidence-based treatment of MDD is a combination of medication (antidepressants) and psychotherapy. The primary forms of psychotherapy that have been most studied through research are: Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Interpersonal Therapy. ([Warren, 2005](#))

ODG Psychotherapy Guidelines:

Initial trial of 6 visits over 6 weeks

With evidence of objective functional improvement, total of up to 13-20 visits over 13-20 weeks (individual sessions)

Chronic pain programs: Recommended where there is access to programs with proven successful outcomes, for patients with conditions that put them at risk of delayed recovery. Patients should also be motivated to improve and return to work, and meet the patient selection criteria outlined below. Also called Multidisciplinary pain programs or Interdisciplinary rehabilitation programs, these pain rehabilitation programs combine multiple treatments, and at the least, include psychological care along with physical therapy (including an active exercise component as opposed to passive modalities). While recommended, the research remains ongoing as to (1) what is considered the “gold-standard” content for treatment; (2) the group of patients that benefit most from this treatment; (3) the ideal timing of when to initiate treatment; (4) the intensity necessary for effective treatment; and (5) cost-effectiveness. It has been suggested that interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary care models for treatment of chronic pain may be the most effective way to treat this condition. ([Flor, 1992](#)) ([Gallagher, 1999](#)) ([Guzman, 2001](#)) ([Gross, 2005](#)) ([Sullivan, 2005](#)) ([Dysvik, 2005](#)) ([Airaksinen, 2006](#)) ([Schonstein, 2003](#)) ([Sanders, 2005](#)) ([Patrick, 2004](#)) ([Buchner, 2006](#)) Unfortunately, being a claimant may be a predictor of poor long-term outcomes. ([Robinson, 2004](#)) These treatment modalities are based on the biopsychosocial model, one that views pain and disability in terms of the interaction between physiological, psychological and social factors. ([Gatchel, 2005](#)) There appears to be little scientific evidence for the effectiveness of multidisciplinary biopsychosocial rehabilitation compared with other rehabilitation facilities for neck and shoulder pain, as opposed to low back pain and generalized pain syndromes. ([Karjalainen, 2003](#))

Types of programs: There is no one universal definition of what comprises interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary treatment. The most commonly referenced programs have been defined in the following general ways ([Stanos, 2006](#)):

(1) **Multidisciplinary programs:** Involves one or two specialists directing the services of a number of team members, with these specialists often having independent goals. These programs can be further subdivided into four levels of pain programs:

(a) Multidisciplinary pain centers (generally associated with academic centers and include research as part of their focus)

(b) Multidisciplinary pain clinics

(c) Pain clinics

(d) Modality-oriented clinics

(2) **Interdisciplinary pain programs:** Involves a team approach that is outcome focused and coordinated and

offers goal-oriented interdisciplinary services. Communication on a minimum of a weekly basis is emphasized. The most intensive of these programs is referred to as a Functional Restoration Program, with a major emphasis on maximizing function versus minimizing pain. See [Functional restoration programs](#).

Types of treatment: Components suggested for interdisciplinary care include the following services delivered in an integrated fashion: (a) physical therapy (and possibly chiropractic); (b) medical care and supervision; (c) psychological and behavioral care; (d) psychosocial care; (e) vocational rehabilitation and training; and (f) education.

Predictors of success and failure: As noted, one of the criticisms of interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary rehabilitation programs is the lack of an appropriate screening tool to help to determine who will most benefit from this treatment. Retrospective research has examined decreased rates of completion of functional restoration programs, and there is ongoing research to evaluate screening tools prior to entry. ([Gatchel, 2006](#)) The following variables have been found to be negative predictors of efficacy of treatment with the programs as well as negative predictors of completion of the programs: (1) a negative relationship with the employer/supervisor; (2) poor work adjustment and satisfaction; (3) a negative outlook about future employment; (4) high levels of psychosocial distress (higher pretreatment levels of depression, pain and disability); (5) involvement in financial disability disputes; (6) greater rates of smoking; (7) duration of pre-referral disability time; (8) prevalence of opioid use; and (9) pre-treatment levels of pain.

([Linton, 2001](#)) ([Bendix, 1998](#)) ([McGeary, 2006](#)) ([McGeary, 2004](#)) ([Gatchel2, 2005](#)) See also [Chronic pain programs, early intervention](#); [Chronic pain programs, intensity](#); [Chronic pain programs, opioids](#); and [Functional restoration programs](#).

Criteria for the general use of multidisciplinary pain management programs:

Outpatient pain rehabilitation programs may be considered medically necessary when all of the following criteria are met:

(1) An adequate and thorough evaluation has been made, including baseline functional testing so follow-up with the same test can note functional improvement; (2) Previous methods of treating the chronic pain have been unsuccessful; (3) The patient has a significant loss of ability to function independently resulting from the chronic pain; (4) The patient is not a candidate where surgery would clearly be warranted; (5) The patient exhibits motivation to change, and is willing to forgo secondary gains, including disability payments to effect this change; & (6) Negative predictors of success above have been addressed.

Integrative summary reports that include treatment goals, progress assessment and stage of treatment, must be made available upon request and at least on a bi-weekly basis during the course of the treatment program. Treatment is not suggested for longer than 2 weeks without evidence of demonstrated efficacy as documented by subjective and objective gains.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)