

I-Decisions Inc.

An Independent Review Organization

71 Court Street

Belfast, Maine 04915

(207) 338-1141 (phone)

(866) 676-7547 (fax)

DATE OF REVIEW: NOVEMBER 6, 2008

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

Left Sacroiliac Joint Injection: Left Piriformis

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

MD, Board Certified in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether or not medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

The reviewer finds that medical necessity exists for Left Sacroiliac Joint Injection: Left Piriformis.

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

Adverse Determination Letters, 9/30/08, 10/15/08
Dr., MD, History and Physical, 7/21/08
Progress Notes, 8/4/08, 9/16/08
Operative Report, 8/20/08

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

This is a woman injured xx/xx/xx when she fell on beads landing on her buttocks. She initially had right sided pain, and subsequently left sided pain. She had no relief after

epidural injections, IDET, Facet rhizotomy, fusion and subsequent hardware removal. She had some relief with a temporary spinal stimulator, but no results with a permanent one. She had a report of a right SI improvement once before Dr. saw her. He made this comment in a note. She had a right sided SI and piriformis injection on 8/20/08 with 4 weeks of relief. Her left sided symptoms became more prominent. Dr. requests authorization for a left sided injection.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION

It appears from the medical records in this case that the right SI and piriformis injection unmasked the left sided contribution to her pain. This patient had bilateral symptoms prior to the right sided injection per the records provided. The piriformis muscle can stress and contribute to SI joint dysfunction. The ODG does not prohibit piriformis and SI injections on the same day.

The ODG classifies both the piriformis and SI injections in the spine and hip/pelvis chapters. The ODG advises physical therapy first for these problems. This patient has met the ODG criteria. Because this patient had prior symptoms and she had a satisfactory response to the right sided injection, a left SI and piriformis injection is justified at this time. The reviewer finds that medical necessity exists for Left Sacroiliac Joint Injection: Left Piriformis.

Piriformis injections

Recommended for piriformis syndrome after a one-month physical therapy trial. Piriformis syndrome is a common cause of low back pain and accounts for 6-8% of patients presenting with buttock pain, which may variably be associated with sciatica, due to a compression of the sciatic nerve by the piriformis muscle (behind the hip joint). For more information and references, see the [Hip Chapter](#).

Piriformis injections

Recommended for piriformis syndrome after a one-month physical therapy trial. Piriformis syndrome is a common cause of low back pain and accounts for 6-8% of patients presenting with buttock pain, which may variably be associated with sciatica, due to a compression of the sciatic nerve by the piriformis muscle (behind the hip joint). Piriformis syndrome is primarily caused by fall injury, but other causes are possible, including pyomyositis, dystonia musculorum deformans, and fibrosis after deep injections. Symptoms include buttock pain and tenderness with or without electrodiagnostic or neurologic signs. Pain is exacerbated in prolonged sitting. Specific physical findings are tenderness in the sciatic notch and buttock pain in flexion, adduction, and internal rotation (FADIR) of the hip. Imaging modalities are rarely helpful, but electrophysiologic studies should confirm the diagnosis, if not immediately, then certainly in a patient re-evaluation and as such should be sought persistently. Physical therapy aims at stretching the muscle and reducing the vicious cycle of pain and spasm. It is a mainstay of conservative treatment, usually enhanced by local injections. Surgery should be reserved as a last resort in case of failure of all conservative modalities. No consensus exists on overall treatment of piriformis syndrome due to lack of objective clinical trials. Conservative treatment (eg, stretching, manual techniques, injections, activity modifications, modalities like heat or ultrasound, natural healing) is successful in most cases. For conservative measures to be effective, the patient must be educated with an aggressive home-based stretching program to maintain piriformis muscle flexibility. He or she must comply with the program even beyond the point of discontinuation of formal medical treatment. Injection therapy can be incorporated if the situation is refractory to the aforementioned treatment program. Injections with steroids, local anesthetics, and botulinum toxin have been reported in the literature for management of this condition, but no single technique is universally accepted. Localization techniques include manual localization of muscle with fluoroscopic and electromyographic guidance, or ultrasound. The piriformis muscle, after localization with a digital rectal examination, can be injected with a spinal needle. Care should be taken to avoid direct injection of the sciatic nerve. ([Papadopoulos, 2004](#)) ([Kuncewicz, 2006](#)) ([Huerto, 2007](#)) See also [Psoas blocks](#).

Sacroiliac joint injections (SJI)

Recommended as an option if failed at least 4-6 weeks of aggressive conservative therapy. See the [Hip & Pelvis Chapter](#) for more information, references, and ODG Criteria for the use of sacroiliac blocks.

Sacroiliac joint blocks

Recommended as an option if failed at least 4-6 weeks of aggressive conservative therapy as indicated below. Sacroiliac dysfunction is poorly defined and the diagnosis is often difficult to make due to the presence of other low back pathology (including spinal stenosis and facet arthropathy). The diagnosis is also difficult to make as pain symptoms may depend on the region of the SI joint that is involved (anterior, posterior, and/or extra-articular ligaments). Pain may radiate into the buttock, groin and entire ipsilateral lower limb, although if pain is present above L5, it is not thought to be from the SI joint.

Innervation: The anterior portion is thought to be innervated by the posterior rami of the L1-S2 roots and the posterior portion by the posterior rami of L4-S3. although the actual innervation remains unclear.

Anterior innervation may also be supplied by the obturator nerve, superior gluteal nerve and/or lumbosacral trunk. ([Vallejo, 2006](#)) Other research supports innervation by the S1 and S2 sacral dorsal rami.

Etiology: includes degenerative joint disease, joint laxity, and trauma (such as a fall to the buttock). The main cause is SI joint disruption from significant pelvic trauma.

Diagnosis: Specific tests for motion palpation and pain provocation have been described for SI joint dysfunction: Cranial Shear Test; Extension Test; Flamingo Test; Fortin Finger Test; Gaenslen's Test; Gillet's Test (One Legged-Stork Test); Patrick's Test (FABER); Pelvic Compression Test; Pelvic Distraction Test; Pelvic Rock Test; Resisted Abduction Test (REAB); Sacroiliac Shear Test; Standing Flexion Test; Seated Flexion Test; Thigh Thrust Test (POSH). Imaging studies are not helpful. It has been questioned as to whether SI joint blocks are the "diagnostic gold standard." The block is felt to show low sensitivity, and discordance has been noted between two consecutive blocks (questioning validity).

([Schwarzer, 1995](#)) There is also concern that pain relief from diagnostic blocks may be confounded by infiltration of extra-articular ligaments, adjacent muscles, or sheaths of the nerve roots themselves. Sacral lateral branch injections have demonstrated a lack of diagnostic power and area not endorsed for this purpose. ([Yin, 2003](#))

Treatment: There is limited research suggesting therapeutic blocks offer long-term effect. There should be evidence of a trial of aggressive conservative treatment (at least six weeks of a comprehensive exercise program, local icing, mobilization/manipulation and anti-inflammatories) as well as evidence of a clinical picture that is suggestive of sacroiliac injury and/or disease prior to a first SI joint block. If helpful, the blocks may be repeated; however, the frequency of these injections should be limited with attention placed on the comprehensive exercise program. ([Forst, 2006](#)) ([Berthelot, 2006](#)) ([van der Wurff, 2006](#)) ([Laslett, 2005](#)) ([Zelle, 2005](#)) ([McKenzie-Brown 2005](#)) ([Pekkafahli, 2003](#)) ([Manchikanti, 2003](#)) ([Slipman, 2001](#)) ([Nelemans-Cochrane, 2000](#)) See also [Intra-articular steroid hip injection](#); & [Sacroiliac joint radiofrequency neurotomy](#).

Criteria for the use of sacroiliac blocks:

1. The history and physical should suggest the diagnosis (with documentation of at least 3 positive exam findings as listed above).
2. Diagnostic evaluation must first address any other possible pain generators.
3. The patient has had and failed at least 4-6 weeks of aggressive conservative therapy including PT, home exercise and medication management.
4. Blocks are performed under fluoroscopy.
5. A positive diagnostic response is recorded as 80% for the duration of the local anesthetic. If the first block is not positive, a second diagnostic block is not performed.
6. If steroids are injected during the initial injection, the duration of pain relief should be at least 6 weeks with at least > 70% pain relief recorded for this period.
7. In the treatment or therapeutic phase (after the stabilization is completed), the suggested frequency for repeat blocks is 2 months or longer between each injection, provided that at least >70% pain relief is obtained for 6 weeks.
8. The block is not to be performed on the same day as a lumbar epidural steroid injection (ESI), transforaminal ESI, facet joint injection or medial branch block.

9. In the treatment or therapeutic phase, the interventional procedures should be repeated only as necessary judging by the medical necessity criteria, and these should be limited to a maximum of 4 times for local anesthetic and steroid blocks over a period of 1 year.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)