

True Resolutions Inc.

An Independent Review Organization

835 E. Lamar Blvd. #394

Arlington, TX 76011

Phone: 817-274-0868

Fax: 214-276-1904

DATE OF REVIEW: 3-19-2008

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

Physical Therapy 3X per week for 2 weeks, 6 sessions total

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

Chiropractor

AADEP Certified

Whole Person Certified

TWCC ADL Doctor

Certified Electrodiagnostic Practitioner

Member of the American of Clinical Neurophysiology

Clinical practice 10+ years in Chiropractic WC WH Therapy

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

Upheld (Agree)

Overturned (Disagree)

Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW

OD Guidelines

Adverse Determination Letters, 1-15-2008, 1-30-2008

letter 3-18-2008

Chiropractic pre-authorization 1/10/08

Letter 11/8/07

Addendum report DDE Dr. MD 12/11/07

Peer Review Dr. 11/30/07
Report Dr. dated 11-08-2007
SOAP notes several 10/07
New patient exam 10-31-2007 and re-exam 12-12-2007
MRI Lumbar spine 6-23-2007
SOAP/Exam notes Dr. 4/06 thru 8/07
Lumbosacral Spine 3/29/06

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:

The injured employee was involved in an occupational injury. The injured employee was apparently pushing a hospital bed and injured her low back and left knee. The injured employee was seen by Dr. who prescribed medication and a home exercise program. MRI of the lumbar spine was performed on 6-23-2007 and x-rays on 8-7-2007. On 8-15-2007 the injured employee was seen by DDE Dr. and assessed the injured employee at MMI and assigned a 0% impairment rating. FCE was performed on 8-15-2007 with Dr.. The injured employee fell under the care of Dr. on 10-31-2007 with chief complaints of low back pain. The treating doctor has requested 6 additional sessions of passive and active therapy.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

The injured employee currently does not meet the required guidelines for the requested services according to the ODG Admission Criteria:

Physical therapy (PT):

There is strong evidence that physical methods, including exercise and return to normal activities, have the best long-term outcome in employees with low back pain. See also [Exercise](#). Direction from physical and occupational therapists can play a role in this, with the evidence supporting active therapy and not extensive use of passive modalities. The most effective strategy may be delivering individually designed exercise programs in a supervised format (for example, home exercises with regular therapist follow-up), encouraging adherence to achieve high dosage, and stretching and muscle-strengthening exercises seem to be the most effective types of exercises for treating chronic low back pain. ([Hayden, 2005](#)) Studies also suggest benefit from early use of aggressive physical therapy ("sports medicine model"), training in exercises for home use, and a functional restoration program, including intensive physical training, occupational therapy, and psychological support. ([Zigenfus, 2000](#)) ([Linz, 2002](#)) ([Cherkin-NEJM, 1998](#)) ([Rainville, 2002](#)) Successful outcomes depend on a functional restoration program, including intensive physical training, versus extensive use of passive modalities. ([Mannion, 2001](#)) ([Jousset, 2004](#)) ([Rainville, 2004](#)) ([Airaksinen, 2006](#)) One clinical trial found both effective, but chiropractic was slightly more favorable for acute back pain and physical therapy for chronic cases. ([Skargren, 1998](#)) A spinal stabilization program is more effective than standard physical therapy sessions, in which no exercises are prescribed. With regard to manual therapy, this approach may be the most common physical therapy modality for chronic low back disorder, and it may be appropriate as a pain reducing modality, but it should not be used as an isolated modality because it does not concomitantly reduce disability, handicap, or improve quality of life. ([Goldby-Spine, 2006](#)) Better symptom relief is achieved with directional preference exercise. ([Long, 2004](#)) As compared with no therapy, physical therapy (up to 20 sessions over 12 weeks) following disc herniation surgery was effective. Because of the limited benefits of physical therapy relative to "sham" therapy (massage), it is open to question whether this treatment acts primarily physiologically,

but psychological factors may contribute substantially to the benefits observed. ([Erdogmus, 2007](#)) See also specific physical therapy modalities, as well as [Exercise](#); [Work conditioning](#); [Lumbar extension exercise equipment](#); [McKenzie method](#); & [Stretching](#). [Physical therapy is the treatment of a disease or injury by the use of therapeutic exercise and other interventions that focus on improving posture, locomotion, strength, endurance, balance, coordination, joint mobility, flexibility, activities of daily living and alleviating pain. ([BlueCross BlueShield, 2005](#))]

Patient Selection Criteria: Multiple studies have shown that patients with a high level of fear-avoidance do much better in a supervised physical therapy exercise program, and patients with low fear-avoidance do better following a self-directed exercise program. When using the Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire ([FABQ](#)), scores greater than 34 predicted success with PT supervised care. ([Fritz, 2001](#)) ([Fritz, 2002](#)) ([George, 2003](#)) ([Klaber, 2004](#)) ([Riipinen, 2005](#)) ([Hicks, 2005](#)) Without proper patient selection, routine physical therapy may be no more effective than one session of assessment and advice from a physical therapist. ([Frost, 2004](#)) Patients exhibiting the centralization phenomenon during lumbar range of motion testing should be treated with the specific exercises (flexion or extension) that promote centralization of symptoms. When findings from the patient's history or physical examination are associated with clinical instability, they should be treated with a trunk strengthening and stabilization exercise program. ([Fritz-Spine, 2003](#))

Post Epidural Steroid Injections: ESIs are currently recommended as a possible option for short-term treatment of radicular pain (sciatica), defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy. The general goal of physical therapy during the acute/subacute phase of injury is to decrease guarding, maintain motion, and decrease pain and inflammation. Progression of rehabilitation to a more advanced program of stabilization occurs in the maintenance phase once pain is controlled. There is little evidence-based research that addresses the use of physical therapy post ESIs, but it appears that most randomized controlled trials have utilized an ongoing, home directed program post injection. Based on current literature, the only need for further physical therapy treatment post ESI would be to emphasize the home exercise program, and this requirement would generally be included in the currently suggested maximum visits for the underlying condition, or at least not require more than 2 additional visits to reinforce the home exercise program. ESIs have been found to have limited effectiveness for treatment of chronic pain. The claimant should continue to follow a home exercise program post injection. ([Luijsterburg, 2007](#)) ([Luijsterburg2, 2007](#)) ([Price, 2005](#)) ([Vad, 2002](#)) ([Smeal, 2004](#))

ODG Physical Therapy Guidelines –

Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 or more visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home PT. Also see other general guidelines that apply to all conditions under Physical Therapy in the [ODG Preface](#).

Lumbar sprains and strains (ICD9 847.2):

10 visits over 8 weeks

Sprains and strains of unspecified parts of back (ICD9 847):

10 visits over 5 weeks

Sprains and strains of sacroiliac region (ICD9 846):

Medical treatment: 10 visits over 8 weeks

Lumbago; Backache, unspecified (ICD9 724.2; 724.5):

9 visits over 8 weeks

Intervertebral disc disorders without myelopathy (ICD9 722.1; 722.2; 722.5; 722.6; 722.8):

Medical treatment: 10 visits over 8 weeks

Post-injection treatment: 1-2 visits over 1 week

Post-surgical treatment (discectomy/laminectomy): 16 visits over 8 weeks

Post-surgical treatment (fusion): 34 visits over 16 weeks

Intervertebral disc disorder with myelopathy (ICD9 722.7)

Medical treatment: 10 visits over 8 weeks

Post-surgical treatment: 48 visits over 18 weeks

Spinal stenosis (ICD9 724.0):

10 visits over 8 weeks

See 722.1 for post-surgical visits

Sciatica; Thoracic/lumbosacral neuritis/radiculitis, unspecified (ICD9 724.3; 724.4):

10-12 visits over 8 weeks

See 722.1 for post-surgical visits

Curvature of spine (ICD9 737)

12 visits over 10 weeks

See 722.1 for post-surgical visits

Fracture of vertebral column without spinal cord injury (ICD9 805):

Medical treatment: 8 visits over 10 weeks

Post-surgical treatment: 34 visits over 16 weeks

Fracture of vertebral column with spinal cord injury (ICD9 806):

Medical treatment: 8 visits over 10 weeks

Post-surgical treatment: 48 visits over 18 weeks

Work conditioning (See also [Procedure Summary](#) entry):

10 visits over 8 weeks

Manipulation:

Number of visits: Several studies of manipulation have looked at duration of treatment, and they generally showed measured improvement within the first few weeks or 3-6 visits of chiropractic treatment, although improvement tapered off after the initial sessions. If chiropractic treatment is going to be effective, there should be some outward sign of subjective or objective improvement within the first 6 visits. These findings question the need for extended treatment, or at least encourage the need for reassessment after a few weeks of treatment. ([Burton, 2000](#)) ([Hurwitz, 2002](#)) ([MD Consult, 2003](#)) ([Stig, 2001](#)) ([Niemisto, 2003](#)) ([Haas, 2004](#)) ([Haas2, 2004](#)) ([Descarreaux, 2004](#)) One specific study showed a success rate of 88% by six weeks with an average total of 8.2 visits, and 3.8 more if recurrence. ([Triano, 1992](#)) Another clinical trial found that only 4 sessions of manipulation and stabilizing exercises resulted in less pain and disability than physician consultation alone. ([Niemisto, 2003](#))

Patient Selection Criteria: The results of a recent study demonstrate that two factors - symptom duration of less than 16 days, and no symptoms extending distal to the knee - were associated with a very good outcome from early referral for spinal manipulation. After only 1-2 sessions of spinal manipulation treatment and a range of motion exercise, the success rate when both criteria were present was 85%, and when both criteria absent was only 28%. ([Fritz, 2005](#)) Other studies support using patient selection criteria, including: (1) Duration of current LBP less than 16 days; (2) Not having symptoms below the knee; (3) [FABQ](#) score less than 19 points; (4) At least one hypomobile segment in the lumbar spine; & (5) Hip internal rotation range of motion >35 degrees. ([Flynn, 2002](#)) ([Niemisto, 2004](#)) ([Fritz, 2004](#)) ([Childs, 2004](#)) ([Riipinen, 2005](#)) Patients with signs and symptoms that suggest movement restrictions of the lumbar region should be treated with joint mobilization-manipulation techniques and range of motion exercises. ([Fritz-Spine, 2003](#))

ODG Chiropractic Guidelines:

Therapeutic care –

Mild: up to 6 visits over 2 weeks

Severe:* Trial of 6 visits over 2 weeks

Severe: With evidence of objective [functional improvement](#), total of up to 18 visits over 6-8 weeks, if acute, avoid chronicity

Elective/maintenance care – Not medically necessary

Recurrences/flare-ups – Need to re-evaluate treatment success, if RTW achieved then 1-2 visits every 4-6 months

* Severe may include severe sprains/strains (Grade II-III^A) and/or non-progressive radiculopathy (the ODG Chiropractic Guidelines are the same for sprains and disc disorders)

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)