

# Independent Resolutions Inc.

An Independent Review Organization

835 E. Lamar Blvd. #394

Arlington, TX 76011

Phone: 817-274-0868

Fax: 817-549-0311

**DATE OF REVIEW:** June 16, 2008

**IRO CASE #:**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE**

Posterior Element Facet Injections

**A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION**

Doctor of Medicine (M.D.)

Board Certified in Orthopaedic Surgery

**REVIEW OUTCOME**

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)
- Overturned (Disagree)
- Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

**INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW**

OD Guidelines

Denial Letters 4/14/08 and 5/1/08

Medical Records from Dr. Office Visits 1/24/06 thru 5/27/08; MRI 1/28/08, Radiology Reports 4/28/08, 1/10/08, 2/8/08, and 2/23/04; EMG 1/30/08 and 9/16/04; Lumbar Myelogram 9/23/04; Lumbar Spine Series 7/30/04; MRI 7/30/04; Letter 6/4/08

**PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

The patient has low back pain and documented lumbar radiculopathy. Posterior facet joint injections have been requested and denied. The requesting MD did not specify the level of the injections or the CPT codes to be performed.

**ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.**

ODG guidelines are very specific with regards the criteria for therapeutic facet joint injections. This patient has documented radiculopathy and is NOT a candidate for this procedure. As such, it is not medically reasonable or necessary.

<p>Facet joint intra-articular injections (therapeutic blocks)</p>	<p>Under study. Current evidence is conflicting as to this procedure and at this time no more than one therapeutic intra-articular block is suggested. If successful (pain relief of at least 50% for a duration of at least 6 weeks), the recommendation is to proceed to a medial branch diagnostic block and subsequent neurotomy (if the medial branch block is positive). If a therapeutic facet joint block is undertaken, it is suggested that it be used in consort with other evidence based conservative care (activity, exercise, etc.) to facilitate <a href="#">functional improvement</a>. (<a href="#">Dreyfuss, 2003</a>) (<a href="#">Colorado, 2001</a>) (<a href="#">Manchikanti, 2003</a>) (<a href="#">Boswell, 2005</a>) See <a href="#">Segmental rigidity</a> (diagnosis). In spite of the overwhelming lack of evidence for the long-term effectiveness of intra-articular steroid facet joint injections, this remains a popular treatment modality. Intra-articular facet joint injections have been popularly utilized as a therapeutic procedure, but are not currently recommended as a treatment modality in most evidence-based reviews as their benefit remains controversial. The therapeutic facet joint injections described here are injections of a steroid (combined with an anesthetic agent) into the facet joint under fluoroscopic guidance to provide temporary pain relief. (<a href="#">Dreyfuss, 2003</a>) (<a href="#">Nelemans-Cochrane, 2000</a>) (<a href="#">Carette, 1991</a>) (<a href="#">Nelemans, 2001</a>) (<a href="#">Slipman, 2003</a>) (<a href="#">van Tulder, 2006</a>) (<a href="#">Colorado, 2001</a>) (<a href="#">ICSI, 2004</a>) (<a href="#">Bogduk, 2005</a>) (<a href="#">Resnick, 2005</a>) (<a href="#">Airaksinen, 2006</a>)</p> <p><i>Systematic reviews endorsing therapeutic intra-articular facet blocks:</i></p> <p><i>Pain Physician, 2005:</i> In 2005 there were two positive systematic reviews published in <i>Pain Physician</i> that stated that the evidence was moderate for short-term and limited for long-term improvement using this intervention. (<a href="#">Boswell, 2005</a>) (<a href="#">Boswell, 2005</a>) These results were based, in part, on five observational studies. These non-controlled studies were confounded by variables such as lack of confirmation of diagnosis by dual blocks and recording of subjective pain relief, or with measures that fell under verbal rating and/or pain relief labels (measures that have been reported to have problems with validity). (<a href="#">Edwards, 2005</a>)</p> <p><i>Pain Physician, 2007:</i> <i>Pain Physician</i> again published a systematic review on this subject in 2007 and added one additional randomized trial comparing intra-articular injections with sodium hyaluronate to blocks with triamcinolone acetonide. The diagnosis of facet osteoarthritis was made radiographically. (<a href="#">Fuchs, 2005</a>) Two randomized trials were not included, in part, as they failed to include controlled diagnostic blocks. These latter articles were negative toward the use of therapeutic facet blocks. (<a href="#">Lilius, 1989</a>) (<a href="#">Marks, 1992</a>) An observational non-controlled study that had positive results was included that made the diagnosis of lumbar facet syndrome based on clinical assessment of “pseudoradicular”</p>
--	--

	<p>lumbar pain, including evidence of an increase of pain in the morning and with excessive stress and exercise (no diagnostic blocks were performed). (<a href="#">Schulte, 2006</a>) With the inclusion of these two articles the conclusion was changed so that the evidence for lumbar intra-articular injections was “moderate” for both short-and long-term improvement of low back pain. (<a href="#">Boswell2, 2007</a>)</p> <p><i>Complications:</i> These included suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis for up to 4 weeks due to steroids with resultant elevated glucose levels for less than a week. (<a href="#">Ward, 2002</a>) There have been rare cases of infection (septic arthritis, epidural abscess and meningitis). (<a href="#">Cohen, 2007</a>) Complications from needle placement include dural puncture, spinal cord trauma, intraarterial and intravenous injection, spinal anesthesia, neural trauma, pneumothorax, and hematoma formation. (<a href="#">Boswell2, 2007</a>)</p> <p><i>Single photon emission computed tomography: (bone scintigraphy, SPECT scan):</i> Not recommended although recent research is promising. This technique is recommended based on the ability of radionuclide bone scintigraphy to detect areas of increased function, depicting synovial areas of inflammation as well as degenerative changes. Thirteen of 15 patients had a &gt; 1 standard deviation pain score improvement at 1 month versus 7 of 32 patients with a negative or no scan. The benefit of the injection lasted for approximately 3 months and did not persist to 6 months. (<a href="#">Pneumaticos2, 2006</a>) See also <a href="#">Facet joint diagnostic blocks</a> (injections); <a href="#">Facet joint pain, signs &amp; symptoms</a>; <a href="#">Facet joint radiofrequency neurotomy</a>; <a href="#">Facet joint medial branch blocks</a> (therapeutic injections); &amp; <a href="#">Segmental rigidity</a> (diagnosis). Also see <a href="#">Neck Chapter</a> and <a href="#">Pain Chapter</a>.</p> <p><b>Criteria for use of therapeutic intra-articular and medial branch blocks, are as follows:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No more than one therapeutic intra-articular block is recommended.</li> <li>2. There should be no evidence of radicular pain, spinal stenosis, or previous fusion.</li> <li>3. If successful (pain relief of at least 50% for a duration of at least 6 weeks), the recommendation is to proceed to a medial branch diagnostic block and subsequent neurotomy (if the medial branch block is positive).</li> <li>4. No more than 2 joint levels may be blocked at any one time.</li> <li>5. There should be evidence of a formal plan of additional evidence-based activity and exercise in addition to facet joint injection therapy.</li> </ol>
--	--

**A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:**

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES

- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)