

# Clear Resolutions Inc.

An Independent Review Organization  
7301 Ranch Rd 620 N, Suite 155-199  
Austin, TX 78726  
Fax: 512-519-7316

Notice of Independent Review Decision

**DATE OF REVIEW: JUNE 10, 2008**

**IRO CASE #:**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE**

Chronic Pain Management Program x 30 Sessions

**A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION**

AADEP Certified  
Whole Person Certified  
TWCC ADL Doctor  
Certified Electrodiagnostic Practitioner  
Clinical practice 10+ years in Chiropractic WC WH Therapy

**REVIEW OUTCOME**

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- Upheld (Agree)  
 Overturned (Disagree)  
 Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

**INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW**

Adverse Determination Letters, 4/18/08, 4/28/08  
ODG Guidelines and Treatment Guidelines, Pain  
Clinical Associates, 2/25/08, 2/23/08, 4/21/08, 5/5/08 & Brochure  
Treatment Plan, 2/26/08  
Dr. DC, 5/16/08  
10/31/07, 2/22/07, 2/23/07, 2/27/07, 2/20/07, 2/8/07, 2/13/07, 2/6/07, 2/1/07, 2/2/07, 8/30/07,  
7/26/07, 6/21/07, 6/24/07, 5/3/07, 4/5/07  
Dr. 2/8/08  
MD, 9/22/07

MD, 11/30/07  
MRI, 2/13/07  
MD, 2/7/07  
2/21/07, 2/16/07  
TDI, 10/10/07

### **PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

The injured employee was involved in an occupational injury when she was performing repetitive work with her right hand. She developed right shoulder, right arm, right wrist, and right elbow pain. The injured employee underwent advanced imaging. The injured employee underwent physical therapy and surgery to the shoulder and wrist. The injured employee was seen by a designated doctor on 9-22-2007 who indicated that the injured employee was not at MMI and expected MMI would be on or about 12-22-2007. The DDE indicates that the injured employee had some RSD and may benefit from a series of stellate ganglion blocks. Records indicate that the injured employee has been treated with medication, trigger point injections, ESI, and surgery. The injured employee underwent a psychological evaluation on 5-5-2008. She was seen by Dr. who has recommended 30 sessions of chronic pain management.

### **ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION**

The injured employee currently does not meet the required guidelines for a 30-session chronic pain management program according to the ODG Admission Criteria.

Specifically, the request exceeds the recommended number of treatments: "Treatment is not suggested for longer than 2 weeks without evidence of demonstrated efficacy as documented by subjective and objective gains. Total treatment duration should generally not exceed 20 sessions. ([Sanders, 2005](#)) Treatment duration in excess of 20 sessions requires a clear rationale for the specified extension and reasonable goals to be achieved. The patient should be at MMI at the conclusion."

The reviewer finds that medical necessity does not exist for 30 Sessions of Chronic Pain Management Program.

Recommended where there is access to programs with proven successful outcomes, for patients with conditions that put them at risk of delayed recovery. Patients should also be motivated to improve and return to work, and meet the patient selection criteria outlined below. Also called Multidisciplinary pain programs or Interdisciplinary rehabilitation programs, these pain rehabilitation programs combine multiple treatments, and at the least, include psychological care along with physical therapy (including an active exercise component as opposed to passive modalities). While recommended, the research remains ongoing as to (1) what is considered the "gold-standard" content for treatment; (2) the group of patients that benefit most from this treatment; (3) the ideal timing of when to initiate treatment; (4) the intensity necessary for effective treatment; and (5) cost-effectiveness. It has been suggested that interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary care models for treatment of chronic pain may be the most effective way to treat this condition. ([Flor, 1992](#)) ([Gallagher, 1999](#)) ([Guzman, 2001](#)) ([Gross, 2005](#)) ([Sullivan, 2005](#)) ([Dysvik, 2005](#)) ([Airaksinen, 2006](#)) ([Schonstein, 2003](#)) ([Sanders, 2005](#)) ([Patrick, 2004](#)) ([Buchner, 2006](#)) Unfortunately, being a claimant may be a predictor of poor long-term outcomes. ([Robinson, 2004](#)) These treatment modalities are based on the biopsychosocial model, one that views pain and disability in terms of the interaction between physiological, psychological and social factors. ([Gatchel, 2005](#)) There appears to be little scientific evidence for the effectiveness of multidisciplinary biopsychosocial rehabilitation compared with other rehabilitation facilities for neck and shoulder pain, as opposed to low back pain and generalized pain syndromes. ([Karjalainen, 2003](#))

**Types of programs:** There is no one universal definition of what comprises interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary treatment. The most commonly referenced programs have been defined in the following general ways ([Stanos, 2006](#)):

(1) **Multidisciplinary programs:** Involves one or two specialists directing the services of a number of team members, with these specialists often having independent goals. These programs can be further subdivided into four levels of pain programs:

(a) Multidisciplinary pain centers (generally associated with academic centers and include research as part of their focus)

(b) Multidisciplinary pain clinics

(c) Pain clinics

(d) Modality-oriented clinics

(2) **Interdisciplinary pain programs:** Involves a team approach that is outcome focused and coordinated and offers goal-oriented interdisciplinary services. Communication on a minimum of a weekly basis is emphasized. The most intensive of these programs is referred to as a Functional Restoration Program, with a major emphasis on maximizing function versus minimizing pain. See [Functional restoration programs](#).

**Types of treatment:** Components suggested for interdisciplinary care include the following services delivered in an integrated fashion: (a) physical treatment; (b) medical care and supervision; (c) psychological and behavioral care; (d) psychosocial care; (e) vocational rehabilitation and training; and (f) education.

**Predictors of success and failure:** As noted, one of the criticisms of interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary rehabilitation programs is the lack of an appropriate screening tool to help to determine who will most benefit from this treatment. Retrospective research has examined decreased rates of completion of functional restoration programs, and there is ongoing research to evaluate screening tools prior to entry. ([Gatchel, 2006](#)) The following variables have been found to be negative predictors of efficacy of treatment with the programs as well as negative predictors of completion of the programs: (1) a negative relationship with the employer/supervisor; (2) poor work adjustment and satisfaction; (3) a negative outlook about future employment; (4) high levels of psychosocial distress (higher pretreatment levels of depression, pain and disability); (5) involvement in financial disability disputes; (6) greater rates of smoking; (7) duration of pre-referral disability time; (8) prevalence of opioid use; and (9) pre-treatment levels of pain.

([Linton, 2001](#)) ([Bendix, 1998](#)) ([McGeary, 2006](#)) ([McGeary, 2004](#)) ([Gatchel2, 2005](#)) Multidisciplinary treatment strategies are effective for patients with chronic low back pain (CLBP) in all stages of chronicity and should not only be given to those with lower grades of CLBP, according to the results of a prospective longitudinal clinical study reported in the December 15 issue of Spine. ([Buchner, 2007](#)) See also [Chronic pain programs, early intervention](#); [Chronic pain programs, intensity](#); [Chronic pain programs, opioids](#); and [Functional restoration programs](#).

#### **Criteria for the general use of multidisciplinary pain management programs:**

**Outpatient** pain rehabilitation programs may be considered medically necessary when all of the following criteria are met:

(1) An adequate and thorough evaluation has been made, including baseline functional testing so follow-up with the same test can note [functional improvement](#); (2) Previous methods of treating the chronic pain have been unsuccessful and there is an absence of other options likely to result in significant clinical improvement; (3) The patient has a significant loss of ability to function independently resulting from the chronic pain; (4) The patient is not a candidate where surgery or other treatments would clearly be warranted; (5) The patient exhibits motivation to change, and is willing to forgo secondary gains, including disability payments to effect this change; & (6) Negative predictors of success above have been addressed.

Integrative summary reports that include treatment goals, progress assessment and stage of treatment, must be made available upon request and at least on a bi-weekly basis during the course of the treatment program. Treatment is not suggested for longer than 2 weeks without evidence of demonstrated efficacy as documented by subjective and objective gains. Total treatment duration should generally not exceed 20 sessions. ([Sanders, 2005](#)) Treatment duration in excess of 20 sessions requires a clear rationale for the specified extension and reasonable goals to be achieved. The patient should be at MMI at the conclusion.

**Inpatient** pain rehabilitation programs: These programs typically consist of more intensive functional rehabilitation and medical care than their outpatient counterparts. They may be appropriate for patients who: (1) don't have the minimal functional capacity to participate effectively in an outpatient program; (2) have medical conditions that require more intensive oversight; (3) are receiving large amounts of medications necessitating medication weaning or detoxification; or (4) have complex medical or psychological diagnosis that benefit from more intensive observation and/or additional consultation during the rehabilitation process. ([Keel, 1998](#)) ([Kool, 2005](#)) ([Buchner, 2006](#)) ([Kool, 2007](#)) As with outpatient pain

rehabilitation programs, the most effective programs combine intensive, daily biopsychosocial rehabilitation with a functional restoration approach.  
(BlueCross BlueShield, 2004) (Aetna, 2006) See [Functional restoration programs](#).

**A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:**

- ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE
- AHCPH- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES
- DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES
- EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
- INTERQUAL CRITERIA
- MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS
- MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES
- MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES
- ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES
- PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR
- TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS
- TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES
- TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL
- PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)
- OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)