

Notice of Independent Review Decision

DATE OF REVIEW:

07/07/2008

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE

Right side L4-L5 microdecompression.

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION

Board Certified Orthopaedic Surgeon

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be: **Upheld**

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether or not medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

Right side L4-L5 microdecompression is not medically necessary.

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY (SUMMARY):

The injured individual is a male who was reported to have sustained a work-related injury. The described mechanism of injury was moving a refrigerator. The injured individual initially complained of cervical, thoracic and lumbar pain. Initial treatment records were absent from the reviewed material. The injured individual subsequently sought treatment from Chiropractor. Dr. referred the injured individual to M.D. for medication management. Mr. was reported to be 5'9" and weighed 244 lbs. He was begun on a course of chiropractic treatment and medication management. There is no information or notes in the record concerning the care and response to Dr.'s treatment. A lumbar MRI was performed on 12/04/07 and showed no significant abnormalities (normal for age). M.D. performed a Designated Doctor Examination (DDE) on 02/07/08. He recommended electromyogram/nerve conduction velocity (EMG/NCV) and referral for an orthopedic evaluation. The Functional Capacity Exam (FCE) on 03/04/08 documented positive Waddell's signs and not maximum effort. Neurologist, M.D. performed an EMG/NCV on 03/25/08 which was normal. His examination of 03/26/08 revealed a past medical history of two prior cervical spine surgeries and evidence of symptom magnification. M.D., an orthopaedist, saw the injured individual for the first time on 04/11/08 and recommended continued conservative care to include lumbar epidural steroid injection. He then on a later visit recommended a lumbar four to five microdecompression. M.D. performed another DDE on 05/22/08. He opined that the injured individual was not at maximum medical improvement (MMI) and that the "patient needs the L4-L5 decompression". It is unclear on what basis he felt that the procedure was medically indicated.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND

CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

The injured individual is a male who was reported to have sustained an injury to his lumbar spine . His treatment at least initially was chiropractic-based. Diagnostic studies have included a normal MRI and normal EMG/NCV. A Board-certified neurologist has found no evidence of radiculopathy. His subjective complaints of pain appear to be consistently out of proportion to objectively documented physical findings. Multiple independent examiners have reported less than maximal effort on testing and evidence of symptom magnification. Both the evidence-based Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) and Medical Disability Advisor (MDA) would have expected resolution of the effects of the work-related injury within six to eight weeks with conservative management. The ODG and MDA recommend investigation and addressing of non-physical factors (psychosocial, workplace, socioeconomic) in cases of delayed recovery or return to work. This has been brought up by several examiners, but has not been addressed. The injured individual has had a protracted course and not returned to work in any capacity since the injury. Dr. has documented an intact neurological examination.

ODG Indications for Surgery -- Discectomy/laminectomy --

Required symptoms/findings; imaging studies; & conservative treatments below:

I. Symptoms/Findings which confirm presence of radiculopathy. Objective findings on examination need to be present. For unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, see AMA Guides, 5th Edition, page 382-383. (Andersson, 2000) Straight leg raising test, crossed straight leg raising and reflex exams should correlate with symptoms and imaging.

Findings require ONE of the following:

A. L3 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:

1. Severe unilateral quadriceps weakness/mild atrophy
2. Mild-to-moderate unilateral quadriceps weakness
3. Unilateral hip/thigh/knee pain

B. L4 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:

1. Severe unilateral quadriceps/anterior tibialis weakness/mild atrophy
2. Mild-to-moderate unilateral quadriceps/anterior tibialis weakness
3. Unilateral hip/thigh/knee/medial pain

C. L5 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:

1. Severe unilateral foot/toe/dorsiflexor weakness/mild atrophy
2. Mild-to-moderate foot/toe/dorsiflexor weakness
3. Unilateral hip/lateral thigh/knee pain

D. S1 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:

1. Severe unilateral foot/toe/plantar flexor/hamstring weakness/atrophy
2. Moderate unilateral foot/toe/plantar flexor/hamstring weakness
3. Unilateral buttock/posterior thigh/calf pain

(EMGs are optional to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy but not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious.)

II. Imaging Studies, requiring ONE of the following, for concordance between radicular findings on radiologic evaluation and physical exam findings:

- A. Nerve root compression (L3, L4, L5, or S1)
- B. Lateral disc rupture
- C. Lateral recess stenosis

Diagnostic imaging modalities, requiring ONE of the following:

1. MR imaging
2. CT scanning

3. Myelography

4. CT myelography & X-Ray

III. Conservative Treatments, requiring ALL of the following:

A. Activity modification (not bed rest) after patient education (\geq 2 months)

B. Drug therapy, requiring at least ONE of the following:

1. NSAID drug therapy

2. Other analgesic therapy

3. Muscle relaxants

4. Epidural Steroid Injection (ESI)

C. Support provider referral, requiring at least ONE of the following (in order of priority):

1. Physical therapy (teach home exercise/stretching)

2. Manual therapy (massage therapist or chiropractor)

3. Psychological screening that could affect surgical outcome

4. Back school (Fisher, 2004)

The injured individual does not meet the criteria as outlined above.

Discectomy/laminectomy:

Recommended for indications below:

Surgical discectomy for carefully selected patients with radiculopathy due to lumbar disc prolapse provides faster relief from the acute attack than conservative management, although any positive or negative effects on the lifetime natural history of the underlying disc disease are still unclear.

Unequivocal objective findings are required based on neurological examination and testing. (Gibson-Cochrane, 2000) (Malter, 1996) (Stevens, 1997) (Stevenson, 1995) (BlueCross BlueShield, 2002) (Buttermann, 2004) Standard discectomy and microdiscectomy are of similar efficacy in treatment of herniated disc. (Bigos, 1999) While there is evidence in favor of discectomy for prolonged symptoms of lumbar disc herniation, in patients with a shorter period of symptoms but no absolute indication for surgery, there are only modest short-term benefits, although discectomy seemed to be associated with a more rapid initial recovery, and discectomy was superior to conservative treatment when the herniation was at L4-L5. (Osterman, 2006) The SPORT studies concluded that both lumbar discectomy and nonoperative treatment resulted in substantial improvement after 2 years, but those who chose discectomy reported somewhat greater improvements than patients who elected nonoperative care. (Weinstein, 2006) (Weinstein2, 2006) A recent RCT compared decompressive surgery with nonoperative measures in the treatment of patients with lumbar spinal stenosis, and concluded that, although patients improved over the 2-year follow-up regardless of initial treatment, those undergoing decompressive surgery reported greater improvement regarding leg pain, back pain, and overall disability, but the relative benefit of initial surgical treatment diminished over time while still remaining somewhat favorable at 2 years. (Malmivaara, 2007) Patients undergoing lumbar discectomy are generally satisfied with the surgery, but only half are satisfied with preoperative patient information. (Ronnberg, 2007) If patients are pain free, there appears to be no contraindication to their returning to any type of work after lumbar discectomy. A regimen of stretching and strengthening the abdominal and back muscles is a crucial aspect of the recovery process. (Burnett, 2006)

According to a major recent trial, early surgery (microdiscectomy) in patients with 6-12 weeks of severe sciatica caused by herniated disks is associated with better short-term outcomes, but at 1 year, disability outcomes of early surgery vs conservative treatment with eventual surgery if needed are similar. The median time to recovery was 4.0 weeks for early surgery and 12.1 weeks for prolonged conservative treatment. The authors concluded, "Patients whose pain is controlled in a manner that is acceptable to them may decide to postpone surgery in the hope that it will not be

needed, without reducing their chances for complete recovery at 12 months. Although both strategies have similar outcomes after 1 year, early surgery remains a valid treatment option for well-informed patients." (Peul-NEJM, 2007) (Deyo-NEJM, 2007) A recent randomized controlled trial comparing decompression with decompression and instrumented fusion in patients with foraminal stenosis and single-level degenerative disease found that patients universally improved with surgery, and this improvement was maintained at 5 years. However, no obvious additional benefit was noted by combining decompression with an instrumented fusion. (Hallett, 2007) A recent British study found that lumbar discectomy improved patients' self-reported overall physical health more than other elective surgeries. (Guilfoyle, 2007) Microscopic sequestrectomy may be an alternative to standard microdiscectomy. In this RCT, both groups showed dramatic improvement. (Barth, 2008) There is consistent evidence that for patients with a herniated disk, discectomy is associated with better short-term outcomes than continued conservative management, although outcomes begin to look similar after 3 to 6 months. This is a decision to be made with the patients, discussing the likelihood that they are going to improve either way but will improve faster with surgery. Similar evidence supports the use of surgery for spinal stenosis, although the outcomes look better with surgery out to about 2 years. (Chou, 2008) Note: Surgical decompression of a lumbar nerve root or roots may include the following procedures: discectomy or microdiscectomy (partial removal of the disc) and laminectomy, hemilaminectomy, laminotomy, or foraminotomy (providing access by partial or total removal of various parts of vertebral bone). Discectomy is the surgical removal of herniated disc material that presses on a nerve root or the spinal cord. A laminectomy is often involved to permit access to the intervertebral disc in a traditional discectomy.

Patient Selection: Microdiscectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniations in patients with a preponderance of leg pain who have failed nonoperative treatment demonstrated a high success rate based on validated outcome measures (80% decrease in VAS leg pain score of greater than 2 points), patient satisfaction (85%), and return to work (84%). Patients should be encouraged to return to their preinjury activities as soon as possible with no restrictions at 6 weeks. Overall, patients with sequestered lumbar disc herniations fared better than those with extruded herniations, although both groups consistently had better outcomes than patients with contained herniations. Patients with herniations at the L5-S1 level had significantly better outcomes than did those at the L4-L5 level. Lumbar disc herniation level and type should be considered in preoperative outcomes counseling. Smokers had a significantly lower return to work rate. In the carefully screened patient, lumbar microdiscectomy for symptomatic disc herniation results in an overall high success rate, patient satisfaction, and return to physically demanding activities. (Dewing, 2008)

Spinal Stenosis: For patients with lumbar spinal stenosis, standard posterior decompressive laminectomy alone (without discectomy) offers a significant advantage over nonsurgical treatment. Discectomy should be reserved for those conditions of disc herniation causing radiculopathy. (See Indications below.) Laminectomy may be used for spinal stenosis secondary to degenerative processes exhibiting ligamentary hypertrophy, facet hypertrophy, and disc protrusion, in addition to anatomical derangements of the spinal column such as tumor, trauma, etc. (Weinstein, 2008) (Katz, 2008) See also Laminectomy.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE

OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION):



managing care. managing claims.

88 Black Falcon Avenue, Suite 353 Boston, MA 02210 (T) 800-227-1464 (F) 617-375-7777

MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR