P-IRO Inc.

An Independent Review Organization
1507 Frontier Dr.
Arlington, TX 76012
Fax: 866-328-3894

DATE OF REVIEW: OCTOBER 8, 2007

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE
Lumbar decompression L4-S1 and a two day hospital stay

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION
Board Certified Orthopedic Surgeon

REVIEW OUTCOME

Upon independent review the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

☒ Upheld (Agree)

☐ Overturned (Disagree)

☐ Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW
Physical therapy evaluation, 03/09/07
Physical therapy note, 04/06/07
Office notes, Dr., 05/04/07, 05/18/07, 08/21/07
MRI lumbar spine, 05/08/07
Notification of determination, 09/07/07
Notification of determination, 09/17/07
No ODG Guidelines

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:
The claimant is a female who complained of low back pain and lower extremity weakness, pain, numbness and tingling after moving heavy boxes. She treated conservatively with physical therapy and medications. Examination by Dr. on 05/04/07 noted right extensor hallucis longus strength of 2/5 and anterior tibialis strength of 4+/5. An MRI of the lumbar spine on 05/08/07 revealed multilevel disc desiccation and broad based disc protrusions at L4-5 and L5-S1 with associated facet arthropathy. The claimant was referred for epidural steroid injection and additional physical therapy. On 08/21/07 Dr. requested authorization of lumbar decompression from L4-S1 with a 2 day inpatient stay.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION.

Based on a careful review of all medical records, L4 through S1 lumbar decompression with a two day hospital stay is not recommended as being medically necessary. The claimant does appear to have some objective findings on physical examination indicative of L5 radiculopathy but the request for two-level decompressive surgery is not clearly explained in the available records. There is no documentation that the requested epidural steroid injection was completed and if so, what the claimant's response was to injection. The office notes refer to a right L5 radiculopathy but the MRI shows a left sided lesion. The MRI findings and clinical impression have not fully been explained in the records, and as such the requested L4 throughout S1 lumbar decompression is not recommended as being medically necessary. As the surgery is not medically necessary, the requested two day inpatient stay is also not medically necessary.

Official Disability Guidelines Treatment in Workers' Comp 2007 Updates: Discectomy/Laminectomy:
Recommended for indications below. Surgical discectomy for carefully selected patients with radiculopathy due to lumbar disc prolapse provides faster relief from the acute attack than conservative management, although any positive or negative effects on the lifetime natural history of the underlying disc disease are still unclear. Unequivocal objective findings are required based on neurological examination and testing. (Gibson-Cochrane, 2000) (Malter, 1996) (Stevens, 1997) (Stevenson, 1995) (BlueCross BlueShield, 2002) (Buttermann, 2004) Standard discectomy and microdiscectomy are of similar efficacy in treatment of herniated disc. (Bigos, 1999) While there is evidence in favor of discectomy for prolonged symptoms of lumbar disc herniation, in patients with a shorter period of symptoms but no absolute indication for surgery, there are only modest short-term benefits, although discectomy seemed to be associated with a more rapid initial recovery, and discectomy was superior to conservative treatment when the herniation was at L4-L5. (Osterman, 2006) The SPORT studies concluded that both lumbar discectomy and nonoperative treatment resulted in substantial improvement after 2 years, but those who chose discectomy reported somewhat greater improvements than patients who elected nonoperative care. (Weinstein, 2006) (Weinstein2, 2006) A recent RCT compared decompressive surgery with nonoperative measures in the treatment of patients with lumbar spinal stenosis, and concluded that, although patients improved over the 2-year follow-up regardless of initial treatment, those undergoing decompressive surgery reported greater improvement regarding leg pain, back pain, and overall disability, but the relative benefit of initial surgical treatment diminished over time while still remaining somewhat favorable at 2 years. (Malmivaara, 2007) Patients undergoing lumbar discectomy are generally satisfied with the surgery, but only half are satisfied with preoperative patient information. (Ronnberg, 2007) If patients are pain free, there appears to be no contraindication to their returning to any type of work after lumbar discectomy. A regimen of stretching and strengthening the abdominal and back muscles is a crucial aspect of the recovery process. (Burnett, 2006) According to a major recent trial, early surgery (microdiscectomy) in patients with 6-12 weeks of severe sciatica caused by herniated disks is associated with better short-term outcomes, but at 1 year, disability outcomes of early surgery vs conservative treatment with eventual surgery if needed are similar. The median time to recovery was 4.0 weeks for early surgery and 12.1 weeks for prolonged conservative treatment. The authors concluded, "Patients whose pain is controlled in a manner that is acceptable to them may decide to postpone surgery in the hope that it will not be needed, without reducing their chances

for complete recovery at 12 months. Although both strategies have similar outcomes after 1 year, early surgery remains a valid treatment option for well-informed patients." (Peul-NEJM, 2007) (Deyo-NEJM, 2007) A recent randomized controlled trial comparing decompression with decompression and instrumented fusion in patients with foraminal stenosis and single-level degenerative disease found that patients universally improved with surgery, and this improvement was maintained at 5 years. However, no obvious additional benefit was noted by combining decompression with an instrumented fusion. (Hallett, 2007) A recent British study found that lumbar discectomy improved patients' self-reported overall physical health more than other elective surgeries. (Guilfoyle, 2007) [Note: Surgical decompression of a lumbar nerve root or roots may include the following procedures: discectomy or microdiscectomy (partial removal of the disc) and laminectomy, hemilaminectomy, laminotomy, or foraminotomy (providing access by partial or total removal of various parts of vertebral bone).]

ODG Indications for Surgery -- Discectomy/laminectomy --

Required symptoms/findings; imaging studies; & conservative treatments below:

I. Symptoms/Findings which confirm presence of radiculopathy. Objective findings on examination need to be present. For unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy, see AMA Guides, 5th Edition, page 382-383. (Andersson, 2000)

Findings require ONE of the following:

A. L3 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
   1. Severe unilateral quadriceps weakness/mild atrophy
   2. Mild-to-moderate unilateral quadriceps weakness
   3. Unilateral hip/thigh/knee pain

B. L4 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
   1. Severe unilateral quadriceps/anterior tibialis weakness/mild atrophy
   2. Mild-to-moderate unilateral quadriceps/anterior tibialis weakness
   3. Unilateral hip/thigh/knee/medial pain

C. L5 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
   1. Severe unilateral foot/toe/dorsiflexor weakness/mild atrophy
   2. Mild-to-moderate foot/toe/dorsiflexor weakness
   3. Unilateral hip/lateral thigh/knee pain

D. S1 nerve root compression, requiring ONE of the following:
   1. Severe unilateral foot/toe/plantar flexor/hamstring weakness/atrophy
   2. Moderate unilateral foot/toe/plantar flexor/hamstring weakness
   3. Unilateral buttock/posterior thigh/calf pain

(EMGs are optional to obtain unequivocal evidence of radiculopathy but not necessary if radiculopathy is already clinically obvious.)

II. Imaging Studies, requiring ONE of the following, for concordance between radicular findings on radiologic evaluation and physical exam findings:

A. Nerve root compression (L3, L4, L5, or S1)

B. Lateral disc rupture

C. Lateral recess stenosis

Diagnostic imaging modalities, requiring ONE of the following:
   1. MR imaging
   2. CT scanning
   3. Myelography
   4. CT myelography & X-Ray

III. Conservative Treatments, requiring ALL of the following:

A. Activity modification after patient education (>= 2 months)

B. Drug therapy, requiring at least ONE of the following:
   1. NSAID drug therapy
   2. Other analgesic therapy
   3. Muscle relaxants
   4. Epidural Steroid Injection (ESI)

C. Support provider referral, requiring at least ONE of the following:

1. Manual therapy (massage therapist or chiropractor)
2. Physical therapy (teach home exercise/stretching)
3. Psychological screening that could affect surgical outcome
4. Back school (Fisher, 2004)

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

- ☐ **ACOEM- AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE**
- ☐ **AHCPR- AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES**
- ☐ **DWC- DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES**
- ☐ **EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN**
- ☐ **INTERQUAL CRITERIA**
- ☐ **MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS**
- ☐ **MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES**
- ☐ **MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES**
- ☒ **ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES**
- ☐ **PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR**
- ☐ **TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS**
- ☐ **TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES**
- ☐ **TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL**
- ☐ **PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)**
- ☐ **OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)**