

APPEAL NO. 041471  
FILED JULY 20, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on May 25, 2004. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issue by determining that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_. The claimant appeals this determination. The respondent (self-insured) urges affirmance of the hearing officer's decision.

DECISION

Affirmed.

Whether the claimant sustained a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_, was a factual question for the hearing officer to resolve. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence (Section 410.165(a)) and it is for the hearing officer to resolve such conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence as were present in this case (Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ)). It was the hearing officer's prerogative to believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness, including that of the claimant. Aetna Insurance Company v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). Nothing in our review of the record indicates that the hearing officer's decision is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

The decision and order of the hearing officer are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **(a self-insured governmental entity)** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**SUPERINTENDENT  
(ADDRESS)  
(CITY), TEXAS (ZIP CODE).**

---

Chris Cowan  
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

---

Daniel R. Barry  
Appeals Judge

---

Edward Vilano  
Appeals Judge