APPEAL NO. 033349 FILED FEBRUARY 19, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on November 25, 2003. The hearing officer decided that the respondent's (claimant herein) compensable injury of ______, includes Grade III acromioclavicular joint separation of the right shoulder and that the claimant had disability beginning on June 26, 2003, and continuing through the date of the CCH. The appellant (carrier herein) files a request for review arguing that the hearing officer's resolution of the extent-of-injury issue should be reversed because it was not based upon sufficient evidence, and that since the hearing officer's finding regarding disability was based upon his extent of injury finding, the disability finding should be reversed as well. There is no response from the claimant to the carrier's request for review in the appeal file.

DECISION

Finding sufficient evidence to support the decision of the hearing officer and no reversible error in the record, we affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

We have held that the guestion of the extent of an injury is a guestion of fact for the hearing officer. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 93613, decided August 24, 1993. Section 410.165(a) provides that the hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given to the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701, 702 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). This is equally true regarding medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286, 290 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Taylor v. Lewis, 553 S.W.2d 153, 161 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Aetna Insurance Co. v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision for factual sufficiency of the evidence we should reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); Pool v. Ford Motor Co., 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986).

In the present case, there was simply conflicting evidence, and it was the province of the hearing officer to resolve these conflicts. Applying the above standard

of review, we find that the hearing officer's decision regarding the extent of the claimant's injury was sufficiently supported by the evidence in the record.

As the carrier's attack on the hearing officer's disability determination is based upon its dispute of his resolution of the extent-of-injury issue, having affirmed the hearing officer's extent-of-injury determination, we likewise affirm the hearing officer's disability determination.

The decision and order of the hearing officer are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS, SUITE 750, COMMODORE 1 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

Gary L. Kilgore Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge

Edward Vilano Appeals Judge