

APPEAL NO. 032890  
FILED DECEMBER 29, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on October 6, 2003. The hearing officer determined that the respondent (claimant herein) sustained a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_; that the compensable injury of \_\_\_\_\_, does extend to include a tear of the medial meniscus and medial collateral ligament sprain of the left knee; and that the claimant had disability as a result of the compensable injury of \_\_\_\_\_, from May 7 to September 8, 2003, but not from September 9 to October 6, 2003. The appellant (self-insured herein) files a request for review, arguing that the hearing officer's injury, extent-of-injury, and disability determinations are against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence. There is no response from the claimant to the carrier's request for review in the appeal file.

DECISION

Finding sufficient evidence to support the decision of the hearing officer and no reversible error in the record, we affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The issues of injury, the extent of the injury, and disability were questions of fact for the hearing officer. Conflicting evidence was presented regarding the issues. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). This is equally true regarding medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision, we will reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); Pool v. Ford Motor Co., 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986). We have reviewed the challenged determinations. The hearing officer's decision is supported by sufficient evidence and is not so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain, supra; In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **(a self-insured governmental entity)** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**CITY SECRETARY  
(ADDRESS)  
(CITY), TEXAS (ZIP CODE).**

---

Gary L. Kilgore  
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

---

Elaine M. Chaney  
Appeals Judge

---

Chris Cowan  
Appeals Judge