

APPEAL NO. 030602  
FILED APRIL 18, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on February 24, 2003. The hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) had disability as a result of his \_\_\_\_\_, compensable injury from April 3 through May 15, 2002, but not from May 16, 2002, through the date of the hearing. The claimant appealed, asserting that the hearing officer erred in her determination that his disability ended on May 15, 2002. The respondent (carrier) responded, urging affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

We have reviewed the complained-of determination and find that the hearing officer's Decision and Order is supported by sufficient evidence to be affirmed. The disputed issue of disability presented a question of fact for the hearing officer. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a); Texas Employers Ins. Ass'n v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). There was conflicting evidence presented on the disputed issue. It was for the hearing officer, as the trier of fact, to resolve the conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence and to determine what facts had been established. Garza v. Commercial Ins. Co., 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). A fact finder is not bound by the testimony (or evidence) of a medical witness where the credibility of that testimony (or evidence) is manifestly dependent upon the credibility of the information imparted to the medical witness by the claimant. Rowland v. Standard Fire Insurance Company, 489 S.W.2d 151 (Tex. Civ. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1972, writ ref'd n.r.e.). An appellate-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. When reviewing a hearing officer's decision to determine the factual sufficiency of the evidence, we should set aside the decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 950084, decided February 28, 1995. Under our standard of review, we conclude that the hearing officer's findings, conclusions, and decision are supported by sufficient evidence and that they are not so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986). This is so even though another fact finder might have drawn other inferences and reached other conclusions. Salazar, et al. v. Hill, 551 S.W.2d 518 (Tex. Civ. App.-Corpus Christi 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ARGONAUT-SOUTHWEST INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**JOSEPH A. YURKOVICH  
1431 GREENWAY DRIVE, SUITE 450  
IRVING, TEXAS 75038.**

---

Daniel R. Barry  
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

---

Judy L. S. Barnes  
Appeals Judge

---

Robert W. Potts  
Appeals Judge