

APPEAL NO. 030066
FILED FEBRUARY 10, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on December 13, 2002. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by deciding that the respondent (claimant) sustained a compensable injury on _____, and that the claimant had disability from October 16, 2001, through the date of the CCH. The appellant (carrier) appealed and the claimant responded.

DECISION

The hearing officer's decision is affirmed.

The claimant had the burden to prove that he sustained a compensable injury as defined by Section 401.011(10) and that he had disability as defined by Section 401.011(16). Conflicting evidence was presented at the CCH. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of fact, the hearing officer resolves the conflicts in the evidence and determines what facts have been established. Although there is conflicting evidence in this case, the claimant's testimony and the reports of the treating doctor support the hearing officer's decision. We conclude that the hearing officer's decision is supported by sufficient evidence and that it is not so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **THE INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY
800 BRAZOS, SUITE 750, COMMODORE 1
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.**

Robert W. Potts
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Daniel R. Barry
Appeals Judge

Edward Vilano
Appeals Judge