

APPEAL NO. 021960
FILED SEPTEMBER 12, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on June 26, 2002. The hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain an injury to her hands in the course and scope of her employment, and that any inability to work was due to something other than the claimed injury. The claimant appeals these determinations; the respondent (carrier) responds that the decision should be affirmed.

DECISION

Affirmed.

Essentially, the claimant quarrels with the manner in which the hearing officer gave weight and credibility to the evidence. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the relevance, materiality, weight, and credibility of the evidence presented at the hearing. Section 410.165(a). The decision should not be set aside because different inferences and conclusions may be drawn upon review, even when the record contains evidence that would lend itself to different inferences. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied); American Motorists Insurance Co. v. Volentine, 867 S.W.2d 170 (Tex. App.-Beaumont 1993, no writ). The record in this case presented conflicting evidence for the hearing officer to resolve, including evidence of a rear-end motor vehicle accident for which the claimant recovered a settlement for injuries that included bilateral hand pain.

In considering all the evidence in the record, we cannot agree that the findings of the hearing officer are so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be manifestly wrong and unjust. In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951). We therefore affirm the decision and order.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ASSOCIATION CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**HAROLD FISHER - PRESIDENT
3420 EXECUTIVE CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 200
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78731.**

Susan M. Kelley
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Veronica Lopez
Appeals Judge

Michael B. McShane
Appeals Judge