

APPEAL NO. 021573  
FILED JULY 24, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on May 28, 2002. The hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury on \_\_\_\_\_, and that he, therefore, did not have disability. The claimant appealed and the respondent (carrier) responded, urging affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

We have reviewed the complained-of determinations and find that the hearing officer's Decision and Order is supported by sufficient evidence to be affirmed in its entirety. The issues of injury and disability presented questions of fact for the hearing officer. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a); Texas Employers Ins. Ass'n v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). There was conflicting evidence presented on the disputed issues. It was for the hearing officer, as the trier of fact, to resolve the conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence and to determine what facts had been established. Garza v. Commercial Ins. Co., 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). Nothing in our review of the record reveals that the hearing officer's determinations are so contrary to the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. As such, no sound basis exists for us to reverse those determinations on appeal. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**GARY SUDOL**  
**9330 LBJ FREEWAY, SUITE 1200**  
**DALLAS, TEXAS 95243.**

---

Daniel R. Barry  
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

---

Elaine M. Chaney  
Appeals Judge

---

Thomas A. Knapp  
Appeals Judge