

APPEAL NO. 021257
FILED JULY 3, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on April 23, 2002. The hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury in the form of an occupational disease with a date of injury of _____, and did not have disability. The claimant appeals these determinations on sufficiency of the evidence grounds. The respondent (carrier) urges affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

The hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury in the form of an occupational disease with a date of injury of _____, and did not have disability. The injury determination involved a question of fact for the hearing officer to resolve. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence (Section 410.165(a)) and, as the trier of fact, resolves the conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence, including the medical evidence (Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ)). In view of the evidence presented, we cannot conclude that the hearing officer's injury determination is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986). Because the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury, the hearing officer properly concluded that the claimant did not have disability. Section 401.011(16).

The decision and order of the hearing officer are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the carrier is **ROYAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**CORPORATION SERVICES COMPANY
800 BRAZOS
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.**

Philip F. O'Neill
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Daniel R. Barry
Appeals Judge

Michael B. McShane
Appeals Judge