APPEAL NO. 011705 FILED SEPTEMBER 4, 2001

CODE ANN. § 401.001 <i>et seq.</i> (1989 Act). 12, 2001. He determined that the appellar income benefits for the first compensable que the claimant sustained on, or spine and left shoulder. On appeal, claimant are not supported by sufficient evidence. Research	nt (claimant) is not entitled to supplementa parter and that the compensable injury, which does not extend to and include her cervical contends the hearing officer's determinations
DECI	SION
We affirm.	
We have reviewed the complained-of involved fact questions for the hearing officer. decided what facts were established. determinations are not so against the great we to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cair We also perceive no error in the wording of Fithe hearing officer misstated the evidence.	We conclude that the hearing officer's veight and preponderance of the evidence as n v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986)
We affirm the hearing officer's decision	n and order.
	Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Thomas A. Knapp Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge	