## APPEAL NO. 011390 FILED JULY 31, 2001

| This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Work CODE ANN. § 401.001 <i>et seq.</i> (1989 Act). A conteste May 21, 2001. The hearing officer resolved the disappellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable in the claimant has not had disability. The claimant appresponded.  | ed case hearing (CCH) was held on sputed issues by deciding that the hijury on, and that  |
|--|---|
| DECISION   |   |
| The hearing officer's decision is affirmed.  |   |
| Section 401.011(10) defines "compensable i presented at the CCH with regard to the issue of compensable injury. The hearing officer is the sole just the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of faconflicts in the evidence and determines what facts evidence presented. The hearing officer's decision compensable injury on, is supported against the great weight and preponderance of the evinjust. Without a compensable injury, the claimant we by Section 401.011(16).  The hearing officer's decision and order are affine. | whether the claimant sustained and go of the weight and credibility of act, the hearing officer resolves the shave been established from the that the claimant did not sustain a by sufficient evidence and is not so widence as to be clearly wrong and would not have disability as defined |
|  | Robert W. Potts   |
| CONCLID:   | Appeals Judge   |
| Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge  |   |
| Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge   |   |