APPEAL NO. 011340 FILED JULY 27, 2001

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas N CODE ANN. § 401.001 et seq. (1989 Act). A cor 24, 2001. With respect to the issues before him, appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensal claimant did not have disability. In his appeterminations are against the great weight of claimant's appeal, the respondent (carrier) urges a	the hearing officer determined that the ble injury on, and that the eal, the claimant argues that those the evidence. In its response to the
DECISION	
Affirmed.	
The hearing officer did not err in determine compensable injury and that he did not have disjudge of the weight and credibility of the evidence conflicting evidence on injury and disability issue conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence againshis role as the fact finder in determining that the proof on either issue. Nothing in our review of the determinations are so against the great weight of manifestly unjust. Accordingly, no sound basis exist on appeal. Pool v. Ford Motor Co., 715 S.W.2d S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986). The hearing officer's decision and order are	sability. The hearing officer is the sole nce. Section 410.165(a). There was les. The hearing officer resolved the st the claimant and he was acting within claimant did not sustain his burden of the record indicates that the challenged the evidence as to be clearly wrong or sts for us to disturb those determinations 629, 635 (Tex. 1986); Cain v. Bain, 709
	Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Gary L. Kilgore Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge	