APPEAL NO. 010891 FILED JUNE 6, 2001

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on April 6, 2001. With respect to the issue before him, the hearing officer determined that the compensable injury to the appellant's (claimant) cervical spine does not extend to degenerative disk disease. The claimant urges that this determination is not supported by the evidence. The respondent (carrier) urges affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

At issue in this case is whether the hearing officer erred in determining that the compensable injury sustained by the claimant to his cervical spine does not extend to degenerative disk disease. Conflicting evidence was presented at the hearing regarding the extent of the injury sustained by the claimant on the date of injury and on two prior Extent of injury is a question of fact. Texas Workers' Compensation injury dates. Commission Appeal No. 93613, decided August 24, 1993. Section 410.165(a) provides that the contested case hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701, 702 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Taylor v. Lewis, 553 S.W.2d 153, 161 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Aetna Insurance Co. v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder, and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision for factual sufficiency of the evidence, we should reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and uniust. Cain v. Bain. 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

the hearing officer.	
	Gary L. Kilgore Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge	
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge	

In the present case, there is sufficient evidence in the record to support the hearing

officer's determination that the compensable injury sustained by the claimant does not extend to degenerative disk disease. Accordingly, we affirm the decision and the order of