APPEAL NO. 010763

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas W CODE ANN. § 401.001 <i>et seq.</i> (1989 Act). A conte 21, 2001. In response to the issues before her, sh did not sustain a compensable injury on Claimant appealed, contending that the evidence sl aggravation. Respondent (carrier) responds that hearing officer's decision and order.	ested case hearing was held on March be determined that appellant (claimant) , and that he did not have disability. hows that he sustained a new injury or
DECISION	
We affirm.	
We have reviewed the complained-of determinvolved fact questions for the hearing officer. The hadecided what facts were established. We determinations are not so against the great weight to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Claimant complains that the hearing officer did not of there is nothing in the record to indicate that the evidence admitted at the hearing. We perceive no experience.	nearing officer reviewed the record and conclude that the hearing officer's and preponderance of the evidence as <u>Bain</u> , 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986). consider all of the evidence. However, hearing officer failed to consider the
We affirm the hearing officer's decision and o	order.
	Judy L. Barnes Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Philip F. O'Neill Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge	