

APPEAL NO. 001706

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on July 5, 2000. The hearing officer determined that respondent (claimant herein) sustained a compensable injury on _____, and that the claimant has had disability beginning January 31, 2000, and continuing through the date of the CCH. The appellant (carrier herein) files a request for review, arguing there is no evidence or insufficient evidence to support the hearing officer's decision. The claimant responds that there is sufficient evidence to support the decision of the hearing officer.

DECISION

Finding sufficient evidence to support the decision of the hearing officer and no reversible error in the record, we affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The claimant testified that she was employed as a housekeeper by a motel and that on _____, while cleaning a men's restroom she slipped on wet floor, falling to the floor. The claimant was taken by ambulance to a hospital where she was diagnosed with a back strain. The claimant followed her treatment with Dr. K who diagnosed her with lumbar, thoracic, and hip sprains. Both the hospital and Dr. K took the claimant off work and she has not been released to return to work. The claimant testified that she has been unable to work due to her injury. There was evidence that the claimant had a prior criminal history.

The question of whether an injury occurred is one of fact. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 93854, decided November 9, 1993; Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 93449, decided July 21, 1993. Section 410.165(a) provides that the contested case hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701, 702 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). This is equally true regarding medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286, 290 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Taylor v. Lewis, 553 S.W.2d 153, 161 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Aetna Insurance Co. v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). An appeals level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision for factual sufficiency of the evidence we should reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); Pool v. Ford Motor Co., 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986).

A finding of injury may be based upon the testimony of the claimant alone. Houston Independent School District v. Harrison, 744 S.W.2d 298,299 (Tex. App.-Houston [1st Dist.] 1987, no writ). However, as an interested party, the claimant's testimony only raises an issue of fact for the hearing officer to resolve. Escamilla v. Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, 499 S.W.2d 758 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1973, no writ). In the present case the hearing officer found injury and this was supported by the testimony of the claimant and medical evidence. The carrier argues that the claimant was not a credible witness. It was the province of the hearing officer to judge the claimant's credibility.

Disability is a question of fact to be determined by the hearing officer and may be based on the testimony of the claimant alone. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 93560, decided August 19, 1993. Here, the hearing officer's finding of disability was supported by both the claimant's testimony and medical evidence.

The decision and order of the hearing officer is affirmed.

Gary L. Kilgore
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

Robert E. Lang
Appeals Panel
Manager/Judge

Philip F. O'Neill
Appeals Judge